

April 24, 1975

file and adopted \$110 to the time we got to final reading some agreement was breeched, allegedly. That's why we're now back to \$96. The reason I accepted the \$110 was that it was the bottom line of decency that I thought was acceptable. \$96 is a pittance, it isn't even the half of a cup of water that Senator Chambers would like it to be. In workman's compensation that is the maximum, the ultimate maximum. That isn't what you get for an arm or a leg or some other disability, two arms and two legs, that's for a total disability. That's when you're totally disabled and you're going to give \$96 in 1975; it's indecent. The reason you want to give them \$96, the reason you don't want to vote against \$110, although you may not like it, the reason you don't want to kill a workman's compensation bill is you want to be able to go out and say, we voted for an increase. Well you're giving people nothing. You can't give people pennies and say, "I've helped you". It's not a decent thing to do. You can't save your conscience that way. Either you give people something, or you don't. And you say, "I didn't give it to you, I didn't want to, you don't deserve it". But don't throw out a figure that the only purpose of which is just to couch your own conscience and satisfy yourself that you can go to people and say, "I gave you something". When in fact, you gave them nothing. It doesn't dignify you, and it doesn't dignify them. It demeans both. People on this floor, there are people on this floor that don't think we should even have workman's compensation. Don't even think that a man who is totally disabled should get anything. They'll give only the pennies that they have to give. It isn't fair and it isn't honest. Either you give a man something that he can live on or say, "You're not entitled to anything". Then stand up and defend that position. Say, "You go out into the world and you work, and if you're injured, it's your problem, it's not the Legislature's problem, it's not your employer's problem." Represent the point of view, represent what you feel. There's nothing wrong with that. That's the honorable thing to do. Don't go half way, don't cut corners, don't try and hide behind a pittance. And this bill shouldn't pass unless it's a decent bill, unless it's a decent level of compensation. You owe that to yourself, you owe that to your workmen, and you owe that to the employer.

PRESIDENT: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Mr. President, members of the body, I hope that other members remember the need for state aid, Senator Cavanaugh being one of them, I move the previous question.

PRESIDENT: The question is shall debate cease. Record your vote. Have you voted? Record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 7 nays.

PRESIDENT: Debate ceases. The chair recognizes Senator Duis for purposes of reply.

SENATOR DUIS: Thank you Mr. President, gentlemen, it's close to the noon hour, and I think that we should adjourn and cool off and come back maybe this afternoon. But prior to that time, I would like to say that I sincerely hope that Senator Cavanaugh and his enthusiasm didn't doubt my integrity. I sincerely hope so. And with that thought in mind, I would be very happy to forget that particular situation if he desires to pursue it...of course that's a matter for his own conscience. But I sincerely hope that the members of this legislature would not doubt my integrity because I've lost many a bill, many a battle, and I've been told one thing many, many times, you have